UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,193	07/18/2003	Brad S. Culbert	TRIAGE.021A	2665
	7590 08/02/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, <b>.</b> ,			3733	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	08/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

. r	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,193	CULBERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anu Ramana	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 April 2007</u> .						
, —	· <del>-</del>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(c) 18 72 is/are pending in the application						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>18-72</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24,25 and 27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-72</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22,26,31,35-41 and 43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2007</u> is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						



**Application No. 10/623,193** 

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :5/11/07;12/26/06;12/22/06;12/20/06;6/12/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,193

Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-23, 31, 35-41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray (US 5,527,312) in view of Mathews (US 5,569,248).

Ray discloses a method of spinal fixation wherein a bone anchor portion of a fixation device is advanced through a facet of a first vertebra and the pedicle of a second vertebra (Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 26-67 and col. 3, lines 43-50).

Ray discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for a head or "proximal anchor" detachably coupled to the body of the fixation device by means of threads or "retention structures."

Mathews teaches a screw with a head or nut or proximal anchor 42 detachably coupled to the screw so that a fixation plate can be held in place between the nut and the screw (Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 28-67 and col. 8, lines 1-32).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided fixation device with a nut or head or "proximal anchor" detachably coupled the body of the fixation device, as taught by Mathews, in order to hold plate 40 in place by means of the nut.

The method steps of claim 18-20, 23 and 26 are rendered obvious by the above discussion.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,193

Art Unit: 3733

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32-34 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 44-72 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,193 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR July 23, 2007

> ANURADHA RAMANA PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

truadha lamara